

of deceptive dating employed by this author were apparently not observed by him, it will be useful to call attention to the dating of parts that have subsequently appeared:—

- Pt. XIV. pp. 33-64, April 1893. *Not Aug. and Oct. 1892, as stated in the signatures!*  
 XV. ,, 65-88, July 1893. *Not Nov. 1892, as stated in the signatures!*  
 XVI. ,, 89-112, Oct. 1893. *Not February 1893, as stated in the signatures!*  
 XVII. ,, 113-136, April 1894. *Not February 1893, as stated in the signatures!*  
 XVIII. ,, 137-160, June 1894. *Not April 1893, as stated in the signatures!*  
 XIX. ,, 161-176, Oct. 1894. *Not July and Oct. 1893, as stated in the signatures!*

I notice that Mr. Moore is a Fellow of the Zoological Society, as well as an Honorary Associate of the Linnean Society; and it might be well if the Councils of these Societies took cognizance of authors who misdate their works, as the question of nomenclature is already one of extreme difficulty.

If Mr. Moore wishes to date his signatures, let him by all means do so, but it must be done with the rigid honesty of Godman and Salvin, who, in their 'Biologia Centrali-Americana,' also give a strict account on the outer wrapper of the contents and date of each part.

C. DAVIES SHERBORN  
(Index gen. et spec. anim.).

*Researches on the Structure, Organization, and Classification of the Fossil Reptilia.*—Part IX. Section 6. *Associated Remains of two small Specimens from Klipfontein, Fraserburg.* By H. G. SEELEY, F.R.S.

The author obtained parts of two skeletons from the summit of the Karroo rocks which form the Nieuwveldt range. They resemble Theriodonts in their general marsupial characters. The fragments of skulls are not in the same slabs with the other bones.

*Theromus leptonotus* shows the fore limb and some vertebræ. The humerus is determined to be Theriodont by the transverse extension of the proximal articulation. The bone is  $1\frac{4}{10}$  inch long, resembling in form that of the Phalangers. The entepicondylar foramen is more vertical than in the marsupials, and, as among marsupials, the radial crest, if prolonged distally, would be continuous with the bridge over that foramen. The vertebræ are each  $\frac{3}{10}$  inch long; they show a transverse suture between the neural arch and the centrum.

The anterior part of the skull, very imperfectly preserved, indi-

cates three incisor teeth with the root of a relatively large maxillary canine; but the region of the molar teeth is lost. There is also a posterior fragment of a skull, which makes known the bones of the palate and the base of the brain-case seen from above. Enough is shown to indicate Theriodont characters, but the animal appears to diverge from the Theriodonts towards the Dicynodont type. If the base of the skull belongs to the same individual as the snout, it indicates a head nearly  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches long.

The second specimen shows fourteen dorsal vertebræ, which occupy a length of  $5\frac{1}{4}$  inches; each slightly exceeds  $\frac{3}{10}$  inch in length, so that this animal, named *Herpetocheirus brachygenemus*, is similar in size to the fossil previously described.

The centrum is deeply biconcave. There is no indication of a capitular articulation for the ribs. The ribs are slender, and the longest are  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches in length. There is no trace of the transverse expansion seen in *Cynognathus*, although the ribs preserved indicate twenty dorsal vertebræ. The humerus is  $1\frac{6}{10}$  inch long and is exposed on the superior aspect. It is distinguished from the type already described by wanting the tuberosity on its inner distal border, which has a convexly rounded contour. The radius is stronger than the ulna, but there is no indication of an olecranon process exposed. The ulna is no stouter than a rib. These bones are an inch long. The carpus shows one large bone below the radius; there is a smaller bone on its outer side which corresponds to the distal end of the ulna: but there is no trace of a third bone preserved, and there is only one central bone preserved. There are three phalanges in a digit. The femur is  $1\frac{9}{10}$  inch long; its articular head appears to be small and hemispherical. There is a large internal trochanter extending down the shaft, which corresponds with the similarly placed ridge in the femur of Megalosaurus and other Saurischia.

The slender character of the ribs, which are different from those in known Theriodonts, suggests the possibility that these remains belong to a group distinct from both the Cynodontia and Gomphodontia.

A small badly preserved fragment of a skull found near to this fossil is described; but there appears to be no sufficient evidence for associating it with the other remains.—*From the Proceedings of the Royal Society.* (Communicated by the Author.)

#### *Note on the Japanese Species of Cistelidæ and Melandryidæ.*

The publication of the paper mentioned Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. ser. 6, vol. xiii. p. 483, with a plate of twelve figures, is unavoidably postponed until 1895.